

**Task 1** (20 points). Show that for a scalar field  $\Psi = \Psi(\vec{r})$ ,

$$\oint_{\partial A} \Psi(\vec{s}) \, d\vec{s} = \int_A d\vec{A} \times \vec{\nabla} \Psi(\vec{r}) = \int_A \hat{n} \times \vec{\nabla} \Psi(\vec{r}) \, dA. \quad (1)$$

The latter two expressions are equal by definition, the first equality is to be shown. One possible method of proof is to apply Stokes's theorem to a vector field  $\vec{V}(\vec{s}) = \vec{b} \Psi(\vec{s})$ , where  $\vec{b}$  is an arbitrary constant vector. Please use this method.

**Task 2** (30 points). Define

$$|\vec{r}| = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}, \quad x_1 = x, \quad x_2 = y, \quad x_3 = z. \quad (2)$$

Show that for all  $i, j = 1, 2, 3$ , one has the relation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \frac{1}{|\vec{r}|} = \Theta(|\vec{r}|) \left\{ \frac{3x_i x_j}{|\vec{r}|^5} - \frac{\delta_{ij}}{|\vec{r}|^3} \right\} - \frac{4\pi}{3} \delta_{ij} \delta^{(3)}(\vec{r}). \quad (3)$$

Here,  $\Theta(x)$  is the Heaviside step function, defined so that  $\Theta(x) = 0$  for  $x \leq 0$ , and  $\Theta(x) = 1$  for  $x > 0$ . Why is this result compatible with the defining equation for the Green function of the Poisson equation in three dimensions? Write a little essay on what happens when you let  $i = j$  and sum over  $i$  from 1 to 3.

**Task 3** (30 points). Derive the wave equations

$$\left( \vec{\nabla}^2 - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \right) \vec{E} = \vec{0}, \quad \left( \vec{\nabla}^2 - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \right) \vec{B} = \vec{0}, \quad (4)$$

for the electric and magnetic fields in a source-free region,  $\rho = 0$  and  $\vec{J} = 0$ , by working in the SI mksA unit system in all intermediate steps.

**Task 4** (60 points). Investigate the Ampere–Maxwell law. **(a.)** Take the divergence of both sides of the Ampere–Maxwell law,

$$\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{B}(\vec{r}, t) = \mu_0 \vec{J}(\vec{r}, t) + \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \vec{E}(\vec{r}, t), \quad (5)$$

and show that you obtain the time derivative of Gauss's law. **(b.)** Give a reason why the Ampere law, which would assert that  $\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{B}(\vec{r}, t)$  is equal to  $\mu_0 \vec{J}(\vec{r}, t)$  [and ignores the second term on the right-hand side of Eq. (5)], must be physically inconsistent upon closer inspection. **(c.)** Show, using the integral form of the Ampere–Maxwell law, that the term proportional to  $\partial_t \vec{E}$  restores the consistency of the equation, when considering a deformed contour in between parallel capacitor plates which are being charged through a current  $I$ . Please note: A corresponding hint has been given in the lecture.

The tasks are due Thursday, 09-APR-2026. Have fun doing the problems!