

Task 1 (40 points) Reconsider the variational problem of the calculation of the potential in a *cylindrical* capacitor, with a more complex trial potential (with the same boundary conditions as in the lecture)

$$w(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}; \rho) = w(\rho) = \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}\rho + \mathcal{C}\rho^2 + \mathcal{D}\rho^3, \quad w(\rho = b) = 0, \quad w(\rho = c) = V_0. \quad (1)$$

Use the parameters $b = 0.2$ cm and $c = 0.8$ cm and plot the variational solution you obtain. Convince yourself that you obtain an even better approximation to the analytic solution than in the lecture, where we had used a three-parameter variational *ansatz*.

Task 2 (40 points) Consider a functional

$$S[f(x)] = \int_V F(f(x)) \, dx', \quad (2)$$

and the definition of the functional derivative as

$$\frac{\delta S}{\delta f(x)} = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_V \frac{F(f(x') + \epsilon \delta(x' - x)) - F(f(x'))}{\epsilon} \, dx'. \quad (3)$$

It is understood here that the variation of the derivative of f is the derivative of the variation, i.e., in F also depends on $f'(x)$, then one has to consider $F(f(x') + \epsilon \delta(x' - x), f'(x') + \epsilon \delta'(x' - x)) - F(f(x'), f'(x'))$ in the numerator of Eq. (3).

(a) How would you generalize this definition for a functional of a function of a three-dimensional argument \vec{r} as opposed to a one-dimensional argument x , i.e., for a functional of the form

$$S[\psi(\vec{r})] = \int_V F(\psi(\vec{r})) \, d^3r. \quad (4)$$

Hint: You may have to use a three-dimensional Dirac- δ function.

(b) Show, based on your extended definition from task (a.), that for

$$S[\psi(\vec{r})] = \int_V \left[\vec{\nabla} \psi(\vec{r}) \right]^2 \, d^3r, \quad (5)$$

one has

$$\frac{\delta S}{\delta \psi(\vec{r})} = -2 \vec{\nabla}^2 \psi(\vec{r}). \quad (6)$$

Task 3 (40 points) Consider the energy functional

$$E[\Phi] = \frac{\epsilon_0}{2} \int d^3r \left| \vec{\nabla} \Phi(\vec{r}) \right|^2 \quad (7)$$

of an electrostatic potential $\Phi = \Phi(\vec{r})$. Show that [you may use the previous results from Task 1]

$$\frac{\delta E[\Phi]}{\delta \Phi(\vec{r})} = -\epsilon_0 \vec{\nabla}^2 \Phi(\vec{r}). \quad (8)$$

Now calculate the second functional derivative. Show and comment every step in your derivation of the result that

$$\frac{\delta^2 E[\Phi]}{\delta \Phi(\vec{r}') \delta \Phi(\vec{r})} = -\epsilon_0 \delta^{(3)}(\vec{r} - \vec{r}') \vec{\nabla}^2. \quad (9)$$

In which sense can we say that the second functional derivative is positive, i.e., that “ $\frac{\delta^2 E[\Phi]}{\delta \Phi(\vec{r}') \delta \Phi(\vec{r})} > 0$ ”? Think about the “positivity of a matrix” and a connection of this concept to the positivity of all of its eigenvalues.